



## Agenda

- EIOPA interim measures
- Process to date Opinion
- Opinion
- · Likely process in 2013 Guidelines
- Probable Guideline content
- CBI approach



#### Caveat

- · Only Opinion published to date
- All work in progress
- Nothing agreed to date



#### **EIOPA** interim measures

- Full implementation of Solvency II will be delayed beyond 1/1/2014
- Aspects are to be implemented early
- EIOPA initiative using its powers
- Opinion published
  - Guidelines to follow



## Opinion – process to date

- Omnibus II not agreed
  - Implementation date in jeopardy
  - Strongly worded letter from EIOPA Chairman
  - Barnier reply
- EIOPA legal opinion
  - Supported by Commission
- · Co-ordinated approach
  - Fear of splintered approach via different national initiatives



## **Opinion**

- Background, context and criticism of Solvency I
- Introduction of some Solvency II elements
  - System of governance
  - Risk management systems
  - Forward looking assessment
  - Internal models
  - Reporting
- Guidelines
  - "Comply or explain" procedure for supervisors



#### 2013 process

- EIOPA draft guidelines
  - Existing sub-groups with specific relevant expertise
  - Management Board steering
  - Board of supervisors approval (March 2013?)
- Public consultation
- Potential changes to guidelines
  - Approved by Board of Supervisors (September 2013?)
- Translation and publication



# Probable guideline content

- System of governance etc.
  - 4 key functions
  - Prudent person principle
  - Key policies
- Reporting
  - Scope, frequency and content
  - Quantitative and narrative



#### Probable guideline content- continued

- ORSA-like process
  - Policy
  - Overall solvency needs
  - Link to strategic management and decision-making framework
  - Reporting internal and supervisory
- Internal models
  - Detailed guidelines
  - Based on original CEIOPS guidelines
  - No significant new requirements
  - 1/1/2014 not a critical milestone



# Central Bank approach

- · Legal basis for national implementation
  - Investigation appropriate mechanisms
- Analyse draft guidelines in depth
  - Amend the supervisory review process work already completed
- Introduce modifications to PRISM engagement model
  - \_ 2014
  - 2015

10

